

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                | FILING DATE                    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/839,300                                     | 04/23/2001                     | Yuefeng Liu          | 6502.0333               | 3107             |  |
| 22852  | 7590 11/29/2005                |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER |                                |                      | NGUYEN, PHUONGCHAU BA   |                  |  |
|  | LLP<br>901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  | N, DC 20001-4413               |                      | 2665                    |                  |  |
|  |                                |                      | DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.      | Applicant(s) | 1 |
|----------------------|--------------|---|
| 09/839,300           | LIU, YUEFENG | · |
| Examiner             | Art Unit     |   |
| Phuongchau Ba Nguyen | 2665         |   |

| Delote the I ming of an Appear Billot   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Phuongchau Ba Nguyen   | 2665  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence add                                      | ress                                   |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| HE REPLY FILED 07 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | date of the final rejection  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL  | and the corresponding amount of the fee.<br>tatutory period for reply originally set in the  | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)   | on fee under 37<br>as set forth in (b) |  |  |  |  |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u>   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or   |  | educing or simplifying                                  | the issues for                         |  |  |  |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a  |  | ejected claims.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.  |  | 1: 6  | V(DTOL 224)                            |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.  |  | ompliant Amendmen                                       | (PTOL-324).                            |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(  |  | 6:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be<br/>the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   | allowable if submitted in a separate   | , timely filed amendin                                  | nent canceling                         |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   | 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:<br>Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-37</u> .   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected. <u>1-57.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a  | out before or on the date of filing a l<br>nd sufficient reasons why the affida  | Notice of Appeal will <u>i</u><br>vit or other evidence | <u>not</u> be entered<br>is necessary  |  |  |  |  |
| and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa</li> </ol>   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>ry and was not earlier presented. S   | eal and/or appellant fa<br>See 37 CFR 41.33(d)          | ails to provide a<br>(1).              |  |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | on of the status of the claims after   | entry is below or attac                                 | ched.                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b   | ut does NOT place the application  | in condition for allowa                                 | ance because:                          |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  13. Other:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: amendment to claims 1,7-8,14-17,22,27,32,37 are the new issues.

DUC HO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Luchus-11-28-05